

# Package ‘DIDmultiplegt’

February 29, 2024

**Type** Package

**Title** Estimation in DID with Multiple Groups and Periods

**Version** 0.1.3

**Maintainer** Diego Ciccía <diego.ciccía@sciencespo.fr>

**Description** Estimate the effect of a treatment on an outcome in sharp Difference-in-Difference designs with multiple groups and periods. It computes the DIDM estimator introduced in Section 4 of "Two-Way Fixed Effects Estimators with Heterogeneous Treatment Effects" (Chaisemartin, D'Haultfoeuille (2020) <[doi:10.1257/aer.20181169](https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.20181169)>), which generalizes the standard DID estimator with two groups, two periods and a binary treatment to situations with many groups, many periods and a potentially non-binary treatment. For each pair of consecutive time periods  $t-1$  and  $t$  and for each value of the treatment  $d$ , the package computes a DID estimator comparing the outcome evolution among the switchers, the groups whose treatment changes from  $d$  to some other value between  $t-1$  and  $t$ , to the same evolution among control groups whose treatment is equal to  $d$  both in  $t-1$  and  $t$ . Then the DIDM estimator is equal to the average of those DIDs across all pairs of consecutive time periods and across all values of the treatment. Under a parallel trends assumption, DIDM is an unbiased and consistent estimator of the average treatment effect among switchers, at the time period when they switch. The package can also compute placebo estimators that can be used to test the parallel trends assumption. Finally, in staggered adoption designs where each group's treatment is weakly increasing over time, it can compute estimators of switchers' dynamic treatment effects, one time period or more after they have started receiving the treatment.

**License** MIT + file LICENSE

**Encoding** UTF-8

**Depends** R(>= 3.4.0)

**Imports** dplyr (>= 1.0.0), fixest (>= 0.6.0), plotrix, stringr, sampling, stats, parallel, assertthat, wooldridge

**NeedsCompilation** no

**Author** Shuo Zhang [aut],  
Clément de Chaisemartin [aut],  
Diego Ciccia [cre]

**Repository** CRAN

**Date/Publication** 2024-02-29 21:22:36 UTC

## R topics documented:

did\_multiplegt . . . . . 2

**Index** . . . . . 7

---

did_multiplegt	<i>Estimation in sharp Difference-in-Difference designs with multiple groups and periods.</i>
----------------	---

---

### Description

Estimates the effect of a treatment on an outcome, in sharp DID designs with multiple groups and periods.

### Usage

```
did_multiplegt(df, Y, G, T, D, controls = c(), placebo = 0, dynamic = 0,
  threshold_stable_treatment = 0, reat_treatment = NULL,
  trends_nonparam = NULL, trends_lin = NULL,
  brep = 0, cluster = NULL, covariance = FALSE, average_effect = NULL,
  parallel = FALSE)
```

### Arguments

df	data frame or data matrix.
Y	the outcome variable.
G	the group variable.
T	the time period variable.
D	the treatment variable.
controls	the names of all the control variables to be included in the estimation, default to c() if not specified.

- `placebo` the number of placebo estimators to be estimated. Placebo estimators compare switchers' and non-switchers' outcome evolution before switchers' treatment changes. Under the parallel trends assumption underlying the DIDM estimator, the placebo estimators should not significantly differ from 0. The number of placebos requested can be at most equal to the number of time periods in the data minus 2.
- `dynamic` the number of dynamic treatment effects to be estimated. This option should only be used in staggered adoption designs, where each group's treatment is weakly increasing over time, and when treatment is binary. The estimators of dynamic effects are similar to the DIDM estimator, except that they make use of long differences of the outcome (e.g. from  $t-1$  to  $t+1$ ) rather than first differences. The number of dynamic effects requested can be at most equal to the number of time periods in the data minus 2.
- `threshold_stable_treatment` this option may be useful when the treatment is continuous, or takes a large number of values. The DIDM estimator uses as controls groups whose treatment does not change between consecutive time periods. With a continuous treatment, there may not be any pair of consecutive time periods between which the treatment of at least one group remains perfectly stable. For instance, if the treatment is rainfall and one uses a county\*year data set, there is probably not a single county\*year whose rainfall is exactly the same as in the same county in the previous year. Then, one needs to specify the `threshold_stable_treatment(#)` option, with # a positive real number. For each pair of consecutive time periods, the command will use counties whose rainfall changed in absolute value by less than # as controls. # should be large enough so that there are counties whose rainfall levels change by less than # between two consecutive years, but it should be small enough so that a change in rainfall of # would be unlikely to affect the outcome.
- `recat_treatment` pools some values of the treatment together when determining the groups whose outcome evolution are compared. This option may be useful when the treatment takes a large number of values, and some very rare in the sample. For instance, assume that treatment  $D$  takes the values 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4, but few observations have a treatment equal to 2. Then, there may be a pair of consecutive time periods where one group goes from 2 to 3 units of treatment, but no group has a treatment equal to 2 at both dates. To avoid losing that observation, one can create a variable `D_recat` that takes the same value when  $D=1$  or 2 (e.g.: `D_recat=(D>=1)+(D>=3)+(D>=4)`), and then specify the `recat_treatment(D_recat)` option. Then, the command can also use groups with a treatment equal to 1 at two consecutive dates as controls for groups going from 2 to 3 units of treatment, thus making it more likely that all switchers have a non-empty set of controls.
- `trends_nonparam` when this option is specified, time fixed effects interacted with `varlist` are included in the estimation. `varlist` can only include one categorical variable. For instance, if one works with county\*year data set and one wants to allow for state-specific trends, then one should write `trends_nonparam(state)`, where `state` is the state identifier.
- `trends_lin` when this option is specified, linear time trends interacted with `varlist` are in-

cluded in the estimation. varlist can only include one categorical variable. For instance, if one works with a year data set and one wants to allow for village-specific linear trends, one should write `trends_lin(village)`, where `village` is the village identifier. The `trends_nonparam(varlist)` and `trends_lin(varlist)` cannot be specified at the same time.

<code>brep</code>	The number of bootstrap replications to be used in the computation of estimators' standard errors. If the option is specified, <code>did_multiplegt</code> returns a graph with all the estimated treatment effects and placebos, and their 95% confidence intervals constructed using a normal approximation. Otherwise, the command does not compute estimators' standard errors. If the option is specified, it plots a graph with all the estimated treatment effects and placebos, and their 95% confidence intervals constructed using a normal approximation.
<code>cluster</code>	the standard errors of the estimators using a block bootstrap at the varname level. Only one clustering variable is allowed.
<code>covariance</code>	if this option and the <code>brep(#)</code> option are specified, the command computes the covariances between all the pairs of instantaneous and dynamic effects requested, and between all the pairs of placebos requested. This option can be useful to assess whether some average of the instantaneous and dynamic effects is statistically significant. For instance, assume that you estimate the instantaneous effect, <code>effect_0</code> , and one dynamic effect, <code>effect_1</code> . You would assess whether $2/3 \cdot \text{effect}_0 + 1/3 \cdot \text{effect}_1$ , a weighted average of those two effects, is statistically significant. You can specify the <code>covariance</code> option, use the fact that $\text{Var}(2/3 \cdot \text{effect}_0 + 1/3 \cdot \text{effect}_1) = 4/9 \text{V}(\text{effect}_0) + 1/9 \text{V}(\text{effect}_1) + 4/9 \text{cov}(\text{effect}_0, \text{effect}_1)$ to compute the standard error of $2/3 \cdot \text{effect}_0 + 1/3 \cdot \text{effect}_1$ , and finally assess if this average effect is significant. This option can also be useful to run an F-test of whether the placebos are all equal to 0, when several placebos are ed.
<code>average_effect</code>	if that option is specified, the command will compute an average of the instantaneous and dynamic effects requested. If <code>average_effect(simple)</code> is specified, the command will compute ple average of the effects and its standard error. If <code>average_effect(prop_number_switchers)</code> is specified, the command will compute an average where each effect receives a weight proportional to the number of switchers the effect to. When <code>average_effect</code> is specified, the <code>covariances</code> option also has to be specified, and the number of dynamic effects requested should be greater than or equal to 1.
<code>parallel</code>	perform bootstrap on multicore if TRUE

## Details

It computes the DIDM estimator introduced in Section 4 of Chaisemartin and D'Haultfoeuille (2019), which generalizes the standard DID estimator with two groups, two periods and a binary treatment to situations with many groups, many periods and a potentially non-binary treatment. For each pair of consecutive time periods  $t-1$  and  $t$  and for each value of the treatment  $d$ , the package computes a DID estimator comparing the outcome evolution among the switchers, the groups whose treatment changes from  $d$  to some other value between  $t-1$  and  $t$ , to the same evolution among control groups whose treatment is equal to  $d$  both in  $t-1$  and  $t$ . Then the DIDM estimator is equal to the average of those DIDs across all pairs of consecutive time periods and across all values of the treatment. Under a parallel trends assumption, DIDM is an unbiased and consistent estimator of the average treatment effect among switchers, at the time period when they switch.

The package can also compute placebo estimators that can be used to test the parallel trends assumption.

Finally, in staggered adoption designs where each group's treatment is weakly increasing over time, it can compute estimators of switchers' dynamic treatment effects, one time period or more after they have started receiving the treatment.

**WARNING:** To estimate event-study/dynamic effects, we strongly recommend using the much faster `did_multiplengt_dyn` command, available from the CRAN repository. In addition to that, `did_multiplengt_dyn` offers more options than `did_multiplengt`.

## Value

In what follows, let  $k$  denote the number specified in the `placebo` option, and let  $j$  denote the number specified in the `dynamic` option. `did_multiplengt` returns results with the following information: The function returns results with the following information:

<code>effect</code>	estimated effect of the treatment at the time period when switchers switch.
<code>N_effect</code>	number of observations used in the estimation of <code>effect</code> . This number is the number of first differences of the outcome and of the treatment used in the estimation.
<code>N_switchers_effect</code>	<code>effect</code> is the average effect of the treatment across the switchers. <code>N_switchers_effect</code> is the number of switchers this effect applies to.
<code>se_effect</code>	estimated standard error of <code>effect</code> , if the option <code>brep</code> has been specified.
<code>placebo_i</code>	estimated placebo effect $i$ periods before switchers switch treatment, for all $i$ in $0, 1, \dots, k$ .
<code>N_placebo_i</code>	number of observations used in the estimation of <code>placebo_i</code> . This number is the number of first differences of the outcome and of the treatment used in the estimation.
<code>se_placebo_i</code>	estimated standard error of <code>placebo_i</code> , if the option <code>brep</code> has been specified.
<code>dynamic_i</code>	estimated effect of the treatment $i$ periods after switchers have switched treatment, for all $i$ in $1, \dots, j$ .
<code>N_dynamic_i</code>	number of observations used in the estimation of <code>dynamic_i</code> . This number is the number of long differences of the outcome and of the treatment used in the estimation.
<code>N_switchers_effect_i</code>	<code>dynamic_i</code> is the average effect of the treatment across the switchers, $i$ periods after they have switched. <code>N_switchers_effect_i</code> is the number of switchers this effect applies to.
<code>se_dynamic_i</code>	estimated standard error of <code>dynamic_i</code> , if the option <code>brep</code> has been specified.
<code>cov_effect_h_i</code>	estimated covariance between dynamic effects and treatment effects, for all $0 \leq h < i \leq j$ , if the options <code>covariances</code> and <code>brep</code> have been specified.
<code>cov_placebo_h_i</code>	estimated covariance between placebo and placebo, for all $1 \leq h < i \leq j$ , if the options <code>covariances</code> and <code>brep</code> have been specified, and at least 2 placebos $n$ requested.

`effect_average` average of the instantaneous and dynamic effects requested by the user, if the `average_effect` option has been specified.

`N_effect_average` number of observations used in the estimation of `effect_average`. This number is the number of first differences of the outcome and of the treatment used in the estimation.

`se_effect_average` estimated standard error of `effect_average`.

## References

de Chaisemartin, C. and D'Haultfoeuille, X. Forthcoming, American Economic Review. Two-Way Fixed Effects Estimators with Heterogeneous Treatment Effects. Vella, F. and Verbeek, M. 1998. Journal of Applied Econometrics 13(2), 163-183. Whose wages do unions raise? a dynamic model of unionism and wage rate determination for young men.

## Examples

```
# estimating the effect of union membership on wages
# using the same panel of workers as in Vella and Verbeek (1998)
library("wooldridge")
Y = "lwage"
G = "nr"
T = "year"
D = "union"
controls = c("hours")

did_multiplegt(wagepan, Y, G, T, D, controls)

placebo = 1
dynamic = 1

# calculate placebo and dynamic effects
# did_multiplegt(wagepan, Y, G, T, D, controls, placebo = placebo, dynamic = dynamic)

# perform bootstrap
# did_multiplegt(wagepan, Y, G, T, D, controls, placebo = placebo, dynamic = dynamic, brep = 2)

# perform cluster bootstrap on cluster nr and save it as dataframe A
# A <- did_multiplegt(wagepan, Y, G, T, D, controls, placebo = placebo, dynamic = dynamic,
#                    brep = 2, cluster = "nr")
```

# Index

did\_multiplegt, [2](#)